Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

1.	List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address: Applications within the Wel
	Head Protection Area (WHPA) of City of Luverne, and Rock County Rural Water wel

District FY06 FOIP

systems, applying practices under Factor B-1 Water Resource Protection Practices will receive 6 or 4 points as indicated on the Application Scoring Worksheet, with a 5 weight factor.

iacioi.

Rock

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: WHPA watershed as shown on Minnesota Dept. of Health Drinking Water Supply Area.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

	Resource	
_ Factor	Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	Н	10
A2 Gully Control	Н	10
B1 Water Resource	Н	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	M	5
C Habitat Improvement	L	1
D Air Quality	L	1
E Impaired Water	L	1
F Distance	L	1
G Grazing System	М	5
H Forest Mgt.	L	1
Additional Local*	M	5

^{*} If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. Flucuating Nitrate levels in City of Luverne and Rock County Rural Water Well systems. Applicants in the WHPA will receive points under Factor B-1 and a weighted factor of 5 under Factor I Additional Local Concern.

- 4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
- 5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document -----none.

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP.

Kurt Halfmann	12/1/04	
Chair, Local Work Group	Date	